Egith No. 65388.

Clarkson,

Clarkson

るのでいるのの

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES BUR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Holding an Louity Court.

LEWIS M. CLARKSON 4801-4th Street, N.W. Washington, D. C.

Plaintiff.

VS.

Equity No.

MERCEDIS TIMEANA CLARKSON Miraflores, Lina, Peru, South merica

FILED

Defendant.

SEP 1 3 9997

BILL OF COMPLAINT FOR ANNULMENT

The bill of complaint of Lewis M. Clarkson residentially shows to the Court as follows:

- That he is an adult citizen of the United States, a resident of the District of Columbia, and has been such resident for more than two years last past.
- 2. That the defendant is a citizen of the Republic of Peru, South America, and that she is a non-resident of the District of Columbia, her last known place of residence to the plaintiff being Miraflores, Lima, Peru.
- 3. The Plaintiff says that in about the month and year of December, 1922 he went to Lima, Peru, South America, as an employee of an american coporation; that sometime during the month of December 1924, he became acquainted with a native Peruvian woman by the name of Senora Mercedes de la Quintana Viuda de Ludewig, the defendant herein; that the said woman was a person of bad reputation and was commonly known to be involved in Peruvian political intrigues and to be supported by various prominent Government officials, including the then president of the Republic, Augusto, B. Leguia; that the plaintiff visited the said woman at her home on one or two occasions in December of 1934. And the plaintiff says that during the month of January or February, 1925, he was visited by a representative of the said Augusto B. Leguia, who informed him that the said

President of the Republic desired to see the plaintiff the following day. Upon the following day the plaintiff called upon the said President and was informed that the defendant, Senora Mercedes was pregnant and that he. Augusto B. Leguia, desired the plaintiff to marry her, for the reason that he considered it necessary to protect her in this manner. And the plaintiff says that when he indicated his inwillingness to marry the said Senora Mercedes, the said Leguis informed him that if he did not marry her he would be "accidentally" shot; that when the plaintiff expressed it as his belief that such a marriage, procurred by duress, fraud and force would be invalid, he was informed that taht was of no consequence, that he was in Peru and would either follow the orders of Leguia or take the threatened consequences. And the plaintiff says that about one week following this said conversation with Leguia. he was formally notified that a date in March, to-wit, the 9th day of March, 1935, had been selected as the date of his marriage to the defendant, and that if the plaintiff did not ap ear or attempted to leave the Country he would be killed; that about seven o'clock of the aid 9th day of March, 1925, four armod secret service men called at the plaintiff's home, informed him that they had been sent to " excort" the plaintiff to the church, and when the plaintiff and his escort arrived in the said church, the said Leguis, together with his personal military excort, were present; that the plaintiff strongly protested against the extraordinary and unlawful procedure, but the said Leguia ordered the ceremony to take place, and again threatened him with injury or death. And the plaintiff says that because he feared for his life, and because he was thus under extreme and unusual duress and force, he had no other recourse but to proceed with the marriage. He denies that he at any time had relations with the defendant, and denies that he was the father of her unborn child, and could not have been responsible for her pregnant condition. And the plaintiff says

that on, to-wit, the 9th day of March 1925, he and the defendant were married at Lima, Peru, and he alleges that the said marriage was brought about and procurred by force, duress, and fraud, and is, therefore, an invalid marriage.

- 4. The plaintiff says that at no time following the said marriage ceremony did he and the defendant reside together as man and wife, and that two or three months following the said marriage a child was bron to the defendant, and about two or three months following the birth of the said child, the defendant and the plaintiff separated, and did not thereafter reside together at any time. And the plaintiff says that following the said marriage he did not at any time co-habit with the defendant as man and wife, and that both during and after the separation in the summer of 1925, the said defendant carried on constant associations with various Peruvian Government officials, and others including the said Augusto B. Leguia.
- 5. The plaintiff says, upon information and belief, that during the month of, to-wit, April, 1926 another e ild was born to the defendant, which said child was not and is not the child of the plaintiff; that following the aforesaid marriage the said President Leguia on many occasions att mpted to induce the plaintiff to become a citizen of Feru and to accept a governmental position at a high salary, which the plaintiff at all times refused to do; that the plaintiff was at all times in fear of his life, and he was familiar with various incidents of the sudden disappearance of enemies of Loguia who were never again heard from; and the plaintiff says that during the month of Movember, 1936, he persuaded the s id Leguia that he, the plaintiff, might be able to secure aid with the government of the United States for Leguia in connection with a cartain land dispute then pending between Feru and Bolivia, and that in February 1927, the said Leguis gave the plaintiff his remission

mitting him to leave the Country, however, the said legula forced the plaintiff, by military escort, to go to the office of the American Consul at Lima and register the two children of the defendant as the children of the plaintiff, although neither of said children was a child of this plaintiff, and the plaintiff says in this manner he was permitted to and did, in February, 1987, return to the United States, where he has resided since that time.

any action prior to the present time to have the said marriage annuled, because during the month of December, 1928, he was advised by friends, residing in Lima, Feru, that the defendant had died; and he says that he at no time has had any communication with the defendant since leaving Peru, and that he has learned within the past few weeks, and upon information and belief, alleges that the said defendant is still living; and he also alleges, upon information and belief, that a third illigitimate child has been born to the said defendant since the departure of the plaintiff from Peru, and that the plaintiff is not the father of said child.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully prays:

- 1. That an order of publication be issued out of this Court, directing the defendant to be and appear herein upon a day certain and answer the exigencies of this bill of complaint; or that the said defendant may be personally served with process of this Court by substituted service under the rules of this Court.
- 2. That upon final hearing of this cause, the alleged marriage of the parties may be declared to be null and void, upon the ground of force, fraud and duress.

And for such other and further relief as the nature of the case may require and to the Court may seem necessary and proper.

Lewis M. Clarkson

District of Columbia, SS:

Lewis M. Clarkson, being first duly sworn, on oath says that he has read the foregoing Bill of Complaint by him subsubscribed and knows the contents thereof; that the matters and things therein alleged as upon his own knowledge are true, and those alleged upon information and belief he believes to be true. Lewis M. Clarkson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this /3 d day of September,

Motary Public, D. C. Quers

My Gamission Expires February 14, 1959

Munches

James R. Murphy
Attorney for Plaintiff
American Security Bles,

ORIGINAL

District Court of the United States for the District of Columbia

Lewis M. Clarkson	. Plaintiff .	00388
vs.	,	No.
Mercedes Quintana Clarkson	, Defendant .	IN EQUITY
THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES to		
) Mercedes Qu	intana Clarkson	HILL
:)		
)		* 1.2. 1.
)		·
·)		
)		
")		
nt in Equity by the above-named plaintiff , and to considered in this behalf; and hereof fail not. **Tituess**, The Honorable of	Chief Jústice of said Co	what the said Court shall hav urt, theday
	CHARLI	CS E STEWART, Clerk.
Note.—The defendant is required to file his answer or other service, excluding the day thereof; otherwise, the bill may	CHARLI By Attorney. her defense in the Clerk's offi by be taken pro confesso. 'S RETURN	Assistant Clerk.
Note.—The defendant is required to file his answer or other service, excluding the day thereof; otherwise, the bill may MARSHAL Served a copy of the bill of complaint or petition Defendant served as Quitting Of	CHARLI By Attorney. The defense in the Clerk's offing be taken pro confesso. S RETURN and this subpena to ans Confessor Confessor And this subpena to ans Confessor Confessor	Assistant Clerk.
Note to be found Note to be found JOHN B. COLPOYS. U. Marshal in and for the District of golumbia.	CHARLI By Attorney. Her defense in the Clerk's office taken pro confesso. S RETURN and this subpena to ans S Defendant U. S. Marshal in a	Assistant Clerk. ce on or before the twentieth do wer on above-named JOHN B. COLPOYS, and for the District of Columbia.
Note.—The defendant is required to file his answer or other service, excluding the day thereof; otherwise, the bill may MARSHAL. Served a copy of the bill of complaint or petition Defendant Color of the bill of COLICA COLORS. Not to be found JOHN B. COLPOYS. U. Marshal in and for the District of Golumbia.	CHARLI By Attorney. Her defense in the Clerk's office taken pro confesso. S RETURN and this subpena to ans S Defendant U. S. Marshal in a	Assistant Clerk. ce on or before the twentieth da wer on above-named JOHN B. COLPOYS. and for the District of Columbia.
Norm.—The defendant is required to file his answer or other service, excluding the day thereof; otherwise, the bill may MARSHAL. Served a copy of the bill of complaint or petition Defendant Creeds OCT /2 1937 Not to be found OCT /2 1937 JOHN B. COLPOYS. U. Marshal in and for the District of Columbia. Deputy Marshal.	CHARLI By Attorney. ner defense in the Clerk's offing be taken pro confesso. 'S RETURN and this subpena to ans U. S. Marshal in a By	Assistant Clerk. See on or before the twentieth da wer on above-named JOHN B. COLPOYS. and for the District of Columbia. Deputy Marshal.
Note.—The defendant is required to file his answer or other service, excluding the day thereof; otherwise, the bill may MARSHAL. Served a copy of the bill of complaint or petition Defendant Courter Court	CHARLE By Attorney. The defense in the Clerk's office taken pro confesso. SRETURN and this subpena to ans Steinhalmt U. S. Marshal in a By U. S. Marshal in a	JOHN B. COLPOYS. Deputy Marshal. JOHN B. COLPOYS. and for the District of Columbia.
Note.—The defendant is required to file his answer or other service, excluding the day thereof; otherwise, the bill may MARSHAL. Served a copy of the bill of complaint or petition Defendant Calls OCT /2 1937 Not to be found OCT /2 1937 JOHN B. COLPOYS. Deputy Marshal. Defendant JOHN B. COLPOYS. Deputy Marshal. Defendant JOHN B. COLPOYS. Deputy Marshal.	CHARLE By Attorney. The defense in the Clerk's office taken pro confesso. SRETURN and this subpena to ans Steinhalmt U. S. Marshal in a By U. S. Marshal in a	JOHN B. COLPOYS. Deputy Marshal. JOHN B. COLPOYS.
Note.—The defendant is required to file his answer or other service, excluding the day thereof; otherwise, the bill may MARSHAL. Served a copy of the bill of complaint or petition Defendant Cacalas Gullary Color to be found OCT /2 1937 JOHN B. COLPOYS. U. Marshal in and for the District of Columbia. Deputy Marshal. JOHN B. COLPOYS. U. S. Marshal in and for the District of Columbia.	CHARLE By Attorney. The defense in the Clerk's office be taken pro confesso. SRETURN and this subpena to ans (5) Defendant. U. S. Marshal in a By (6) Defendant. U. S. Marshal in a By	JOHN B. COLPOYS. Deputy Marshal. Deputy Marshal. Deputy Marshal.
Note.—The defendant is required to file his answer or other service, excluding the day thereof; otherwise, the bill may MARSHAL. Served a copy of the bill of complaint or petition Defendant OCT /2 1937 Not to be found JOHN B. COLPOYS. U. Marshal in and for the District of Golumbia. Deputy Marshal. JOHN B. COLPOYS. Deputy Marshal. JOHN B. COLPOYS. JOHN B. COLPOYS.	CHARLI By Attorney. ner defense in the Clerk's office be taken pro confesso. SRETURN and this subpena to ans U. S. Marshal in a By (6) Defendant	JOHN B. COLPOYS. Deputy Marshal. JOHN B. COLPOYS. And for the District of Columbia. JOHN B. COLPOYS.